arXiv:1112.4102v1 [math-ph] 18 Dec 2011

Asymptotic completeness for infraparticles in two-dimensional conformal field theory

Wojciech Dybalski*
Zentrum Mathematik, Technische Universität München,
D-85747 Garching, Germany
and
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Aarhus University,
DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
E-mail: `dybalski@ma.tum.de`

Yoh Tanimoto†
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Göttingen,
Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany
E-mail: `yoh.tanimoto@theorie.physik.uni-goettingen.de`

Abstract

We formulate a new concept of asymptotic completeness for two-dimensional massless quantum field theories in the spirit of the theory of particle weights. We show that this concept is more general than the standard particle interpretation based on Buchholz' scattering theory of waves. In particular, it holds in any chiral conformal field theory in an irreducible product representation and in any completely rational conformal field theory. This class contains theories of infraparticles to which the scattering theory of waves does not apply.

1 Introduction

The problem of asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory (QFT) has been a subject of active research over the last two decades, both on the relativistic [14, 23] and non-relativistic side [12, 16–18, 29]. However, all the results obtained so far concern Wigner particles i.e. excitations with a well-defined mass. The problem of a complete particle interpretation in the presence of infraparticles, i.e. particles whose mass fluctuates due to the presence of other excitations, appears to be open to date in all the models considered in the literature. In the present Letter we formulate a natural notion of asymptotic completeness for two-dimensional massless relativistic QFT which remains meaningful in the presence of infraparticles. We verify that a large class of chiral conformal field theories, containing theories of infraparticles, satisfies this property.

Since the seminal work of Schroer [28], infraparticles have remained a prominent topic in mathematical physics. Their importance relies on the fact that all the electrically charged

---

[*] Supported in part by the DFG grant SP181/25 and by the grant no. 09-065927 "Mathematical Physics" of the Danish Council for Independent Research.

[†] Supported in part by the ERC Advanced Grant 227458 OACFT “Operator Algebras and Conformal Field Theory”.

particles, including the electron, turn out to be infraparticles [6]. In models of non-relativistic QED scattering states of one electron and photons were successfully constructed by Fröhlich, Pizzo and Chen in [11]. In a more abstract framework of algebraic QFT a complementary approach to scattering of infraparticles was proposed by Buchholz, Porrmann and Stein [10]. This theory of *particle weights* [13, 24, 25] does not aim at scattering states, but rather provides an algorithm for a direct construction of (inclusive) collision cross-sections. Very recently the theory of particle weights was applied to conformal field theories by the present authors [15]. We found out that any chiral conformal field theory in a charged, irreducible product representation describes infraparticles. We also checked that in some cases these infraparticles have superselected velocity, similarly to the electron in QED. However, the question of complete particle interpretation of these theories was not addressed in [15]. We answer this question (affirmatively) in the present work.

This Letter is organized as follows: In Section 2 we specify our framework and formulate a generalized concept of asymptotic completeness (Definition 2.3). We remark that this is an implementation of ideas from [8] in the setting of two-dimensional massless theories. In Section 3 we recall from [7] the scattering theory of waves, which are counterparts of Wigner particles in this setting. We show that any theory which has complete particle interpretation in the sense of waves satisfies also our generalized property of asymptotic completeness. After this consistency check, we show in Section 4 that any chiral conformal field theory in an irreducible product representation is asymptotically complete in the generalized sense. As a corollary, we obtain in Section 5 that any completely rational conformal field theory has the property of generalized asymptotic completeness.

Acknowledgements. W.D. would like to thank D. Buchholz, J.S. Møller, A. Pizzo, M. Porrmann, W. De Roeck and H. Spohn for interesting discussions on scattering theory. A part of this work has been accomplished during the stay of Y.T. at Aarhus University. He thanks J.S. Møller for his hospitality.

2 The generalized concept of asymptotic completeness

In this section we fix our framework, list the main definitions and facts relevant to our investigation and formulate the generalized concept of asymptotic completeness. We start with a variant of the Haag-Kastler postulates [19] which we will use in this work:

Definition 2.1. *A local net of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a pair $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ consisting of a map $\mathcal{O} \to \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$ from the family of open, bounded regions of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to the family of von Neumann algebras on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a strongly continuous unitary representation of translations $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni x \to U(x)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$, which are subject to the following conditions:*

1. *(isotony) If $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$, then $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)$.*
2. *(locality) If $\mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$, then $[\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_1), \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)] = 0$, where $\perp$ denotes spacelike separation.*
3. *(covariance) $U(x)\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})U(x)^* = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O} + x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*
4. *(positivity of energy) The joint spectrum of U coincides with the closed forward light-cone $V_+ := \{ (\omega, \boldsymbol{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, \omega \geq |\boldsymbol{p}| \}$.*

We also introduce the quasilocal C^*-algebra of this net $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})}$.

We assume that the spectrum of U coincides with V_+ rather than being included in, because we are interested in the scattering theory of massless particles. It is indeed automatic for dilation-covariant theories or theories of waves (see Section 3).

Our first task is to identify, in the above theoretical setting, observables which can be interpreted as particle detectors. To this end, we have to list several definitions and results: First, we recall that an observable $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ is called almost-local, if there exists a net of operators $\{ B_r \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r) \,|\, r > 0 \}$, s.t. for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r^k \|B - B_r\| = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_r = \{(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, |t| + |\boldsymbol{x}| < r\}$. We also recall that the Arveson spectrum of an operator $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ w.r.t. the group of translation automorphisms $\alpha_x(\,\cdot\,) = U(x) \,\cdot\, U(x)^*$, denoted $\mathrm{Sp}^B\alpha$, is the closure of the union of supports of the distributions

$$(\Psi_1|\tilde{B}(p)\Psi_2) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \, \mathrm{e}^{-ipx} (\Psi_1|B(x)\Psi_2) \tag{2.2}$$

over all $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, where $p = (\omega, \boldsymbol{p})$, $x = (t, \boldsymbol{x})$, $px = \omega t - \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{x}$ and $B(x) := \alpha_x(B)$. Let $E(\,\cdot\,)$ be the spectral measure of U. As shown in [2], for any $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ and any closed set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, it holds that

$$BE(\Delta)\mathcal{H} \subset E(\overline{\Delta + \mathrm{Sp}^B\alpha})\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we introduce the lightline coordinates $\omega_\pm = \frac{\omega\pm\boldsymbol{p}}{\sqrt{2}}$, $t_\pm = \frac{t\mp\boldsymbol{x}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and define, for any $\delta > 0$, the following subspaces of $\mathfrak{A}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm,\delta} \;=\; \{ B \in \mathfrak{A} \,|\, B \text{ is almost-local and } \mathrm{Sp}^B\alpha \subset \{ \omega_\pm \leq -\delta \} \text{ is compact } \}. \tag{2.4}$$

Following [1, 5], we construct particle detectors: For any $e_\pm > 0$, $B_\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm,e_\pm}$ and $T \geq 1$ we define

$$Q^T_\pm(B_\pm) \;=\; \int dt \, h_T(t) \int d\boldsymbol{x} \, f_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}/t)(B^*_\pm B_\pm)(t, \boldsymbol{x}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $h_T(t) = |T|^{-\varepsilon} h(|T|^{-\varepsilon}(t - T))$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $h \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ is a non-negative function s.t. $\int dt\, h(t) = 1$ and $f_\pm \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For large T these expressions can be interpreted as detectors sensitive to particles whose velocities belong to the supports of $f_\pm$. Since we are interested in theories of massless excitations in two-dimensional spacetime, which move without dispersion with velocities ±1, we set $f_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_\pm}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in (2.5). Such detectors suffice to distinguish between the left-moving and right-moving particles.

The operators $Q^T_\pm(B_\pm)$ are defined on the domain $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E(\{(\omega, p) \,|\, \omega \leq n\})\mathcal{H}$ of vectors of bounded energy. This is a consequence of the following abstract theorem due to Buchholz, which we will use frequently in the sequel:

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). *Let $\mathbb{R}^s \ni \boldsymbol{x} \to U(\boldsymbol{x})$ be a group of unitaries on $\mathcal{H}$, $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let E_n be the orthogonal projection onto the intersection of the kernels of the n-fold products $B(\boldsymbol{x}_1)...B(\boldsymbol{x}_n)$ for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots \boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^s$, where $B(\boldsymbol{x}) = U(\boldsymbol{x})BU(\boldsymbol{x})^*$. Then there holds for each compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ the estimate*

$$\left\| E_n \int_K d\boldsymbol{x} \, (B^*B)(\boldsymbol{x}) E_n \right\| \leq (n-1) \int_{\Delta K} d\boldsymbol{x} \; \|[B^*, B(\boldsymbol{x})]\| \, , \tag{2.6}$$

where $\Delta K = \{ \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in K \}$.

As noticed in [5], if $B \in \mathcal{L}_{+,\delta} \cup \mathcal{L}_{-,\delta}$, then, for any compact set Δ, the range of $E(\Delta)$ is contained in E_n for sufficiently large n due to relation (2.3). Exploiting almost-locality of B one can replace ΔK on the r.h.s. of (2.6) with $\mathbb{R}$, obtaining a bound which is uniform in K. Then $E(\Delta) \int d\boldsymbol{x}\, (B^*B)(\boldsymbol{x})E(\Delta) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ exists as a strong limit of integrals over compact subsets and

$$\int d\boldsymbol{x}\, (B^*B)(\boldsymbol{x})E(\Delta) \in B(\mathcal{H}), \tag{2.7}$$

since $\mathrm{Sp}^B\alpha$ is compact.

As the convergence of $Q^T_\pm(B_\pm)$ as $T \to \infty$ is not known in general, we define the following subsets of $\mathcal{L}_{\pm,\delta}$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm,\delta} = \{\, B_\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm,\delta} \,|\, Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm)\Psi := \lim_{T\to\infty} Q^T_\pm(B_\pm)\Psi \text{ exists for any } \Psi \in \mathcal{D}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

The limits $Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm)$, $B_\pm \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm,\delta}$, are operators on $\mathcal{D}$ which satisfy

$$U(x)Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm)U(x)^* = Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{2.9}$$

thus they preserve each spectral subspace. Every vector from the range of $Q^{\mathrm{out}}_+(B_+)$ (resp. $Q^{\mathrm{out}}_-(B_-)$) contains an excitation moving to the right (resp. to the left), whose energy is larger than $e_+/\sqrt{2}$ (resp. $e_-/\sqrt{2}$), and possibly some other, unspecified excitations. The basis for this physical interpretation of particle detectors is Proposition 3.3, stated below.

Now, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the following subset of the spectrum of U

$$\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-) = \{\, (\omega_+, \omega_-) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, e_+ \le \omega_+ \le e_+ + \varepsilon,\ e_- \le \omega_- \le e_- + \varepsilon \,\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ be the continuous subspace of the relativistic mass operator $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$, where $(H, \boldsymbol{P})$ are generators of U. Then, in view of the above discussion, every non-zero vector of the form

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{out}}_\varepsilon = Q^{\mathrm{out}}_+(B_+)Q^{\mathrm{out}}_-(B_-)\Psi, \quad \Psi \in E(\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}}, \quad B_\pm \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm,\delta} \tag{2.11}$$

describes two 'hard' massless excitations, the first moving to the right with energy $e_+/\sqrt{2}$ and the second moving to the left with energy $e_-/\sqrt{2}$, as well as some unspecified 'soft' massless particles, whose total energy is less than $\sqrt{2}\varepsilon$. Since the motion of massless excitations in two-dimensional Minkowski spacetime is dispersionless, we expect that such two-body generalized scattering states span the entire subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. (In fact, two excitations moving without dispersion in the same direction can be interpreted as one excitation). In view of the above discussion, we define the generalized asymptotic completeness as follows:

Definition 2.3. *Suppose that for any* $e_+, e_-, \varepsilon > 0$

$$E(\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} = \mathrm{Span}\{\, Q^{\mathrm{out}}_+(B_+)Q^{\mathrm{out}}_-(B_-)E(\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} \,|\, B_\pm \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm,e_\pm} \,\}^{\mathrm{cl}}, \tag{2.12}$$

where cl *means the closure. Then we say that the theory has the property of generalized asymptotic completeness.*

In the present Letter we consider only outgoing configurations of particles, since the incoming case is analogous.

3 Theories of waves

In this section we consider a local net of von Neumann algebras $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ in a vacuum representation. That is we assume, in addition to the properties specified in Definition 2.1, the existence of a unique (up to a phase) unit vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$, which is invariant under U and cyclic for $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\pm = \ker(H \mp \mathbf{P})$, where $(H, \mathbf{P})$ are generators of U, and let $E_\pm$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections. If each of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ contains some vectors orthogonal to Ω, then we say that the net $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ describes 'waves', which are counterparts of Wigner particles in massless, two-dimensional theories. A natural scattering theory for waves, developed by Buchholz in [7], is outlined below. We will show that theories which are asymptotically complete in the sense of this scattering theory have also the property of generalized asymptotic completeness, formulated in Definition 2.3 above.

Following [7], for any $F \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $T \geq 1$ we introduce the asymptotic field approximants:

$$F_\pm(h_T) = \int h_T(t) F(t, \pm t) dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where h_T is defined after formula (2.5) above. We recall the following result:

Proposition 3.1 ([7]). *Let $F \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then the limits*

$$\Phi_\pm^{\mathrm{out}}(F) := \underset{T\to\infty}{\text{s-lim}} F_\pm(h_T) \tag{3.2}$$

exist and are called the (outgoing) asymptotic fields. They depend only on the respective vectors $\Phi_\pm^{\mathrm{out}}(F)\Omega = E_\pm F\Omega$ and satisfy $[\Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F), \Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F')] = 0$ for any $F, F' \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Now the scattering states are defined as follows: Since $\mathfrak{A}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}$, (by the assumed uniqueness of the vacuum), for any $\Psi_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$ we can find $F_\pm \in \mathfrak{A}$ s.t. $\Psi_\pm = F_\pm \Omega$ [27]. The vectors

$$\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_- = \Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F_+)\Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F_-)\Omega \tag{3.3}$$

are called the (outgoing) scattering states. By Proposition 3.1 they do not depend on the choice of $F_\pm$ within the above restrictions. They have the following properties:

Proposition 3.2 ([7]). *Let $\Psi_\pm, \Psi'_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$. Then:*

(a) $(\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_-, \Psi'_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi'_-) = (\Psi_+, \Psi'_+)(\Psi_-, \Psi'_-)$,

(b) $U(x)(\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_-) = (U(x)\Psi_+) \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} (U(x)\Psi_-)$, *for* $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

If the states of the form (3.3) span the entire Hilbert space, then we say that the theory is **asymptotically complete in the sense of waves**. In this case, the representation U decomposes into a tensor product of representations of lightlike translations and the spectrum of U automatically coincides with V_+ by the theorem of Borchers [3]. We will show below that any such theory is also asymptotically complete in the sense of Definition 2.3. To this end we prove the following fact:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $B_\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm, e_\pm}$ and let $\Psi_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$ be vectors of bounded energy. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T\to\infty} Q_+^T(B_+)(\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_-) &= (E_+ Q(B_+)\Psi_+) \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_-, &(3.4)\\ \lim_{T\to\infty} Q_-^T(B_-)(\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_-) &= \Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} (E_- Q(B_-)\Psi_-), &(3.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(B_\pm) := \int d\boldsymbol{x}\, (B_\pm^* B_\pm)(\boldsymbol{x})$ are operators defined on $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. We prove only equality (3.4), as (3.5) is analogous. Let $F_\pm \in \mathfrak{A}$ be s.t. $\Psi_\pm = F_\pm\Omega$. Since $\Psi_\pm$ have bounded energy, we can ensure, by smearing with suitable test functions, that $\mathrm{Sp}^{F_\pm}\alpha$ are compact sets. Then it is clear that $\Psi_+ \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_- = \Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F_+)\Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F_-)\Omega$ is a vector of bounded energy, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+^T(B_+)\Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F_+)\Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F_-)\Omega &= [Q_+^T(B_+), \Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F_-)]\Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F_+)\Omega \\ &+ \Phi_-^{\mathrm{out}}(F_-)Q_+^T(B_+)\Phi_+^{\mathrm{out}}(F_+)\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let us first consider the second term on the r.h.s. of (3.6). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+^T(B_+)\Psi_+ &= \int dt\, h_T(t)\mathrm{e}^{iHt}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} d\boldsymbol{x}(B_+^*B_+)(\boldsymbol{x})\mathrm{e}^{-i\boldsymbol{P}t}\Psi_+ \\ &= \int dt\, h_T(t)\mathrm{e}^{i(H-\boldsymbol{P})t}\int_{-t}^{\infty} d\boldsymbol{x}(B_+^*B_+)(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_+ \\ &= -\int dt\, h_T(t)\mathrm{e}^{i(H-\boldsymbol{P})t}\int_{-\infty}^{-t} d\boldsymbol{x}(B_+^*B_+)(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_+ \\ &+ E_+\int d\boldsymbol{x}(B_+^*B_+)(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_+. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Here in the first step we made use of the definition of $\mathcal{H}_+$ and in the third step we exploited the mean ergodic theorem. Let us show that the next to the last term on the r.h.s. above tends to zero as $T \to \infty$. This is a consequence of the fact that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{-t} d\boldsymbol{x}(B_+^*B_+)(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_+ = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

which follows from the discussion after Theorem 2.2 above. Thus we obtain

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} Q_+^T(B_+)\Psi_+ = E_+Q(B_+)\Psi_+. \tag{3.9}$$

To conclude the proof, we still have to show that the first term on the r.h.s. of (3.6) tends strongly to zero as $T \to \infty$. Making use of (3.9) and of relation (2.7), and exploiting the fact that $\mathrm{Sp}^{B_+}\alpha$ is compact, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \|E(\Delta)[Q_+^T(B_+), F_-(h_T)]E(\Delta')\| = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for any compact sets $\Delta, \Delta' \subset V_+$. If F_- is almost local, this is shown in the proof of Lemma A.3 of [15]. In general, we choose a sequence of local operators $F_{-,n}$, s.t. $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|F_{-,n} - F_-\| = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}^{F_-}\alpha$ is compact, we can choose a function $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ s.t. $\mathrm{supp}\,\tilde{f}$ is compact and $F_- = F_-(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx\, F_-(x)f(x)$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|F_{-,n}(f) - F_-\| = 0$ and, making use of relation (2.7), we can replace F_- with $F_{-,n}(f)$ in (3.10) at a cost of the following error term

$$\|E(\Delta)[Q_+^T(B_+), (F_- - F_{-,n}(f))(h_T)]E(\Delta')\| \leq 2\|Q(B_+)E(\Delta'')\|\,\|F_- - F_{-,n}(f)\|, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\Delta'' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a compact subset. Here we made use of relation (2.3) and of the fact that $(F_- - F_{-,n}(f))$ has compact Arveson spectrum, uniformly in n. Clearly, this term tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in T. Since $F_{-,n}(f)$ are almost local and $\mathrm{Sp}^{F_{-,n}(f)}\alpha$ are contained in $\mathrm{supp}\,\tilde{f}$, (3.10) follows. □

Let us set $H_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H \pm \boldsymbol{P})$ and let $E_\pm(\,\cdot\,)$ be the spectral measures of $H_\pm|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}$. It is easily seen that the spectrum of $H_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\pm}}$ is continuous, apart from an eigenvalue at zero. (In fact, if Ψ_+ is an eigenvector of $H_+|_{\mathcal{H}_+}$, then $(\Psi_+|A\Psi_+) = (\Psi_+|A(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_+) = \|\Psi_+\|^2(\Omega|A\Omega)$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Exploiting the fact that $\mathfrak{A}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain that Ψ_+ is proportional to Ω). We note the following fact, whose proof relies on some ideas from the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [9]:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\delta > 0$, $\Psi_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+$ and suppose that $B\Psi_+ = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{L}_{+,\delta}$. Then $E_+([\delta,\infty))\Psi_+ = 0$. (An analogous result holds for $(+)$ replaced with $(-)$).*

Proof. Let us choose $b > a > \delta$, $0 < \varepsilon < a - \delta$ and $c > 0$. We choose functions $f_{\pm} \in S(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_+ \subset (-\infty, -\delta]$ is compact, $\tilde{f}_+(\omega_+) = 1$ for $\omega_+ \in [-b, -a+\varepsilon]$, $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}_- \subset [-2c, 2c]$ and $\tilde{f}_-(\omega_-) = 1$ for $\omega_- \in [-c, c]$. Let $f(x) = f_+(t_+)f_-(t_-)$. Since $\tilde{f}(p) = \tilde{f}_+(\omega_+)\tilde{f}_-(\omega_-)$, we obtain that $A(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx\, A(x)f(x)$ is an element of $\mathcal{L}_{+,\delta}$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, by assumption, $A(f)\Psi_+ = 0$. Making use of the fact that $\alpha_x(A(f)) \in \mathcal{L}_{+,\delta}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain that $U(x)A(f)U(x)^*\Psi_+ = 0$, hence $A(f)U(x)^*\Psi_+ = 0$ and consequently

$$E(\Delta_2)A(f)E(\Delta_1)\Psi_+ = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

for any compact sets Δ_1, $\Delta_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Setting $\Delta_1 = \{\,(\omega_+,\omega_-) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, \omega_+ \in [a,b], \omega_- \in [-c/2, c/2]\,\}$, $\Delta_2 = \{\,(\omega_+,\omega_-) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, \omega_+ \in [0,\varepsilon], \omega_- \in [-c/2,c/2]\,\}$ and exploiting the properties of f, we obtain that

$$E(\Delta_2)AE(\Delta_1)\Psi_+ = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

As $\mathfrak{A}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}$, (since we assumed the uniqueness of the vacuum vector), and $E(\Delta_2) \neq 0$, (which follows e.g. from the existence of the vacuum), we conclude that $E(\Delta_1)\Psi_+ = E_+([a,b])\Psi_+ = 0$. Since the spectrum of $H_+|_{\mathcal{H}_+}$ is continuous, apart from the eigenvalue at zero, we obtain that $E_+([\delta,\infty))\Psi_+ = 0$. $\Box$

Now we proceed to the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ be a net of von Neumann algebras in a vacuum representation, which is asymptotically complete in the sense of waves. Then it has the property of generalized asymptotic completeness, stated in Definition 2.3.*

Proof. First, we note that the continuous subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of the relativistic mass operator $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} = \mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}} \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \mathcal{H}_{-,\mathrm{c}}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\pm,\mathrm{c}} = \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \cap \{\Omega\}^{\perp}$ are the continuous subspaces of $H_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\pm}}$. To justify this fact one notes that, as a consequence of asymptotic completeness in the sense of waves, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}} \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \mathcal{H}_{-,\mathrm{c}}$ and the only possible eigenvalue of $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$ is zero. (Non-zero eigenvalues can easily be excluded with the help of the Haag-Ruelle scattering theory or by proceeding as in Lemma 4.3 below). Then it is readily checked that no vector from the subspace on the r.h.s. of (3.14) can be a corresponding eigenvector.

Making use of (3.14) and of Proposition 3.2, we obtain the following equality

$$E(\Delta_{\varepsilon}(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} = E_+([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}} \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} E_-([e_-, e_- + \varepsilon])\mathcal{H}_{-,\mathrm{c}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Now we note that any vector $\Psi \in E(\Delta)\mathcal{H}$, where $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact, can be expressed as $\Psi = \sum_{m,n} c_{m,n} \Psi_{+,m} \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\times} \Psi_{-,n}$, where $\Psi_{\pm,m} \in P(\Delta')\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ form orthonormal systems, $\Delta' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact and $\sum_{m,n} |c_{m,n}|^2 < \infty$. (See [15, Lemma A.2]). Hence, Proposition 3.3

and relation (2.7) entail that for any $B_\pm \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm,e_\pm}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}$ the limits $Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm)\Psi = \lim_{T\to\infty} Q^T_\pm(B_\pm)\Psi$ exist. Consequently, it suffices to verify the following formula

$$E_+([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}} = \mathrm{Span}\{\, E_+Q(B_+)E_+([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}} \,|\, B_+ \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e_+}\}^{\mathrm{cl}} \qquad (3.16)$$

and its counterpart with (+) replaced with (−), whose proof is analogous. Since $E_+Q(B_+)E_+$ is invariant under spacetime translations, it is obvious that the subspace on the r.h.s. of (3.16) is contained in the subspace on the l.h.s. Let us now assume that the inclusion is proper i.e. we can choose a non-zero vector $\Psi_+ \in (E_1 - E_0)\mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}}$, where $E_1 := E_+([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])$ and E_0 is the orthogonal projection on the subspace on the r.h.s. of (3.16). By Lemma 3.4, there is an operator $B_+ \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e_+}$ s.t. $B_+\Psi_+ \neq 0$. Then it is easy to see that $(\Psi_+|Q(B_+)\Psi_+) \neq 0$ which means that $(E_1 - E_0)E_+Q(B_+)\Psi_+ \neq 0$. Hence $E_+Q(B_+)\Psi_+ \neq 0$ and $E_+Q(B_+)\Psi_+ \notin E_0\mathcal{H}_{+,\mathrm{c}}$, which contradicts the definition of E_0. □

4 Chiral nets and infraparticles

In the previous section we showed that the generalized concept of particle interpretation, formulated in Definition 2.3, is a consequence of a more standard notion of asymptotic completeness in the sense of waves. We recall from [14, 15] that any chiral conformal field theory in a *vacuum* product representation is asymptotically complete in the sense of waves. Hence it is also asymptotically complete in the generalized sense.

It turns out that the range of validity of the generalized asymptotic completeness is not restricted to theories of waves, but holds also in some theories of *infraparticles.* We say that a net of von Neumann algebras $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ describes infraparticles, if one or both of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are trivial, but there exist non-zero particle detectors $Q^{\mathrm{out}}_\pm(B_\pm)$. As shown in [15], any chiral conformal field theory in a *charged* irreducible product representation describes infraparticles. In this section we show that these theories of infraparticles are asymptotically complete in the generalized sense.

Let us now briefly recall the construction of chiral conformal field theories, focusing on these properties, which are needed in our investigation. First, we recall the definition of a local net of von Neumann algebras on the real line:

Definition 4.1. *A local net of von Neumann algebras on* $\mathbb{R}$ *is a pair* $(\mathcal{A}, V)$ *consisting of a map* $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})$ *from the family of open, bounded subsets of* $\mathbb{R}$ *to the family of von Neumann algebras on a Hilbert space* $\mathcal{K}$ *and a strongly continuous unitary representation of translations* $\mathbb{R} \ni s \to V(s)$*, acting on* $\mathcal{K}$*, which are subject to the following conditions:*

1. *(isotony) If* $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{J}$*, then* $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{J})$*.*
2. *(locality) If* $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathfrak{J} = \varnothing$*, then* $[\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{J})] = 0$*.*
3. *(covariance)* $\beta_s(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})) := V(s)\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})V(s)^* = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I} + s)$ *for any* $s \in \mathbb{R}$*.*
4. *(positivity of energy) The spectrum of* V *coincides with* $\mathbb{R}_+$*.*

We also denote by $\mathcal{A}$ *the quasilocal* C^**-algebra of this net i.e.* $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{I}\subset\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})}$*. We assume that it acts irreducibly on* $\mathcal{K}$*.*

Let $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}, V_{\mathrm{L}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}, V_{\mathrm{R}})$ be two nets of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}$, acting on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R}}$. To construct a local net $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, acting on the tensor product space

$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R}}$, we identify the two real lines with the lightlines $I_{\pm} = \{\, (t, \boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, \boldsymbol{x} \mp t = 0 \,\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let us first specify the unitary representation of translations

$$U(t, \boldsymbol{x}) := V_{\mathrm{L}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t - \boldsymbol{x}) \right) \otimes V_{\mathrm{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t + \boldsymbol{x}) \right). \tag{4.1}$$

The spectrum of this representation coincides with V_+ due to property 4 from Definition 4.1. Any double cone $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ can be expressed as a product of intervals on lightlines $D = \mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J}$. The corresponding local von Neumann algebra is given by $\mathfrak{A}(D) := \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J})$, and for a general open region $\mathcal{O}$ we put $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) = \bigvee_{D \subset \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{A}(D)$. The resulting net of von Neumann algebras $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$, which we call the chiral net, satisfies the properties stated in Definition 2.1. If both $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R}}$ contains translation invariant vectors, then we say that the net $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is in a vacuum product representation. Otherwise we say that it is in a charged product representation. These two cases will be treated on equal footing in the remaining part of this section.

We will show that any chiral net satisfies the generalized asymptotic completeness in the sense of Definition 2.3. As a preparation, we prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, V)$ be a local net of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}$.*

(a) For any $\delta > 0$, we define the following subset of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\delta = \{\, A(f) \,|\, A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}, f \in S(\mathbb{R}), \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f} \subset (-\infty, -\delta] \ \text{ compact} \,\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $A(f) := \int ds\, \beta_s(A) f(s)$. Suppose that $B\Psi = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$. Then we have $E([\delta, \infty))\Psi = 0$, where $E(\,\cdot\,)$ is the spectral measure of V.

(b) The spectrum of V is absolutely continuous, apart from a possible eigenvalue at zero.

Proof. The argument below, which is a one-dimensional version of the proof of Lemma 3.4, relies on ideas from Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 of [9]. To prove (a) we choose $b > a > \delta$ and $0 < \varepsilon < a - \delta$. We pick a function $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f} \subset (-\infty, -\delta]$ is compact and $\tilde{f}(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [-b, -a + \varepsilon]$. Then $A(f) \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ and, by assumption, $A(f)\Psi = 0$. Making use of the fact that $\beta_s(A(f)) \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$E(\Delta_2) A(f) E(\Delta_1) \Psi = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

for any compact sets Δ_1, Δ_2. Setting $\Delta_1 = [a, b]$, $\Delta_2 = [0, \varepsilon]$ and exploiting the properties of the function f, we obtain that

$$E(\Delta_2) A E(\Delta_1) \Psi = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{K}$, we conclude that $E([a, b])\Psi = 0$. Thus we obtain that either $E([\delta, \infty))\Psi = 0$ or $V(s)\Psi = \mathrm{e}^{i\delta s}\Psi$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us now exclude the latter possibility: By Lemma 2.2 of [5], (stated as Theorem 2.2 above), we obtain that

$$\int ds\, (\Psi | \beta_s(B^* B) \Psi) < \infty \tag{4.5}$$

for any $B \in \mathcal{L}_{\delta'}$, $\delta' > 0$. This is only possible if $B\Psi = 0$ for all such B. Proceeding as in the first part of the proof, we conclude that $V(s)\Psi = \Psi$ i.e. $\delta = 0$, which is a contradiction. This concludes the proof of (a).

To show (b), we pick $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ and note that

$$\mathrm{Span}\{\, E([\delta, \delta+\varepsilon])B^*\Psi \mid B \in \mathcal{L}_\delta,\ \Psi \in E([0,\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}\,\}^{\mathrm{cl}} = E([\delta,\delta+\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}. \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, any vector from $E([\delta, \delta+\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}$, which is orthogonal to the subspace on the l.h.s. is zero by relation (2.3) and part (a) of the present lemma. Next, by irreducibility, for any $A(f) \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ we can find $A_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_n)$ s.t. $E([\delta,\delta+\varepsilon])A(f)^* = \text{s-}\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n(f)^*$. Consequently,

$$\mathrm{Span}\{\, B^*\Psi \mid B \in \mathcal{L}_\delta,\ \Psi \in E([0,\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}\,\}^{\mathrm{cl}} \supset E([\delta,\delta+\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}. \tag{4.7}$$

Now for any $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ and $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in E([0,\varepsilon])\mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_1^*\Psi_1|V(s)B_2^*\Psi_2)| &= |(\Psi_1|[B_1, B_2^*(s)]V(s)\Psi_2)| \\ &\leq \|\Psi_1\|\,\|\Psi_2\|\,\|[B_1, B_2^*(s)]\|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

which is a rapidly decreasing function of s. Making use of these facts and of the Plancherel theorem, one easily obtains that $(\Psi|E(\Delta)\Psi') = 0$ for any $\Psi, \Psi' \in E((0,\infty))\mathcal{K}$ and $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ of zero Lebesgue measure. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{L}, V_\mathrm{L})$ *and* $(\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{R}, V_\mathrm{R})$ *be two nets of von Neumann algebras on* $\mathbb{R}$ *acting on* $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{L}$ *and* $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{R}$*, respectively, and let* $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ *be the corresponding chiral net. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{c} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{c}$ *is the continuous subspace of* $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$ *and* $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L/R,c}}$ *are the continuous subspaces of* $V_{\mathrm{L/R}}$*.*

Proof. Let $T_{\mathrm{L/R}}$ be the generators of $V_{\mathrm{L/R}}$. We obtain from relation (4.1) that $\boldsymbol{P} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_\mathrm{L} \otimes I - I \otimes T_\mathrm{R})$, $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_\mathrm{L} \otimes I + I \otimes T_\mathrm{R})$ and $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2 = 2(T_\mathrm{L} \otimes T_\mathrm{R})$. Thus it follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 (b) that $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{c} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}}$. To prove the opposite inclusion, we have to show that the r.h.s. of (4.9) does not contain any eigenvectors of $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$. Let us therefore assume that there exists $\Psi \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}}$ s.t. $(H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2)\Psi = m^2\Psi$, $m \geq 0$. Then, for any $\Psi_{\mathrm{L/R}} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L/R,c}}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi|\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R}) &= \int_{V_+} (\Psi|d\tilde{E}(q_\mathrm{L}, q_\mathrm{R})(\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R})) && (4.10) \\ &= \int_{H_m} (\Psi|d\tilde{E}(q_\mathrm{L}, q_\mathrm{R})(\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R})), && (4.11) \end{aligned}$$

where H_m is the hyperboloid at mass m (or the lightcone in the case $m = 0$) and the second equality follows from the assumption that Ψ is an eigenvector of $H^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2$. The complex measure $(\Psi|d\tilde{E}(q_\mathrm{L}, q_\mathrm{R})(\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R}))$ is dominated by the measure $\|\Psi\|((\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R})|d\tilde{E}(q_\mathrm{L}, q_\mathrm{R})(\Psi_\mathrm{L} \otimes \Psi_\mathrm{R}))$. The latter is a product measure of Lebesgue absolutely continuous measures by Lemma 4.2 (b), hence it is also absolutely continuous. Since H_m has Lebesgue measure zero, the integral above must be zero. Thus $\Psi = 0$, which concludes the proof. □

Remark 4.4. We note that the above lemma could be proven without exploiting the absolute continuity of the spectral measures. In fact, for any two positive operators $T_\mathrm{L}, T_\mathrm{R}$ with empty point spectrum, the operator $T_\mathrm{L} \otimes T_\mathrm{R}$ also has empty point spectrum. This follows from the elementary fact that if $\mu_\mathrm{L}, \mu_\mathrm{R}$ are two measures on $\mathbb{R}$ without an atomic part, then the product measure $\mu_\mathrm{L} \times \mu_\mathrm{R}$ of any hyperboloid is zero.

Now we are ready to prove our main result:

Theorem 4.5. *Any chiral net* $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ *satisfies generalized asymptotic completeness in the sense of Definition 2.3.*

Proof. First, we obtain from Lemma 4.3,

$$E(\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} = E_{\mathrm{L}}([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \otimes E_{\mathrm{R}}([e_-, e_- + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L/R,c}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{L/R}}(\,\cdot\,)$ are the continuous subspaces and spectral measures of $V_{\mathrm{L/R}}$. Now let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{L/R},\delta} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L/R}}$ be sets defined as in (4.2). It is easy to see that if $B_{\mathrm{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{L},e_+}$ and $B_{\mathrm{R}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{R},e_-}$, then $B_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes I \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e_+}$ and $I \otimes B_{\mathrm{R}} \in \mathcal{L}_{-,e_-}$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T\to\infty} Q_+^T(B_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes I)(\Psi_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}) &= Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi_{\mathrm{L}}) \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}, & (4.13)\\ \lim_{T\to\infty} Q_-^T(I \otimes B_{\mathrm{R}})(\Psi_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}) &= \Psi_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes (Q(B_{\mathrm{R}})\Psi_{\mathrm{R}}), & (4.14) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(B_{\mathrm{L/R}}) := \int ds\, \beta^{(\mathrm{L/R})}_{s/\sqrt{2}}(B^*_{\mathrm{L/R}} B_{\mathrm{L/R}})$, $\Psi_{\mathrm{L/R}} \in E_{\mathrm{L/R}}(\Delta_{\mathrm{L/R}})\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L/R}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{L/R}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are compact subsets. To verify relation (4.13), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+^T(B_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes I)(\Psi_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}) &= \Big(\int dt\, h_T(t) \int_{-\infty}^t ds\, \beta^{(\mathrm{L})}_{s/\sqrt{2}}(B^*_{\mathrm{L}} B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi_{\mathrm{L}}\Big) \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}} \\ &= -\Big(\int dt\, h_T(t) \int_t^{\infty} ds\, \beta^{(\mathrm{L})}_{s/\sqrt{2}}(B^*_{\mathrm{L}} B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi_{\mathrm{L}}\Big) \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}} \\ &+ (Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi_{\mathrm{L}}) \otimes \Psi_{\mathrm{R}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and the next to the last term above tends strongly to zero as $T \to \infty$ in view of the discussion after Theorem 2.2. Equality (4.14) is proven analogously. As a consequence of (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_+^{\mathrm{out}}(B_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes I) Q_-^{\mathrm{out}}(I \otimes B_{\mathrm{R}}) E(\Delta_\varepsilon(e_+, e_-))\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}} \\ = \ &Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})E_{\mathrm{L}}([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \otimes Q(B_{\mathrm{R}})E_{\mathrm{R}}([e_-, e_- + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where we used Lemma A.2 of [14], as in the discussion after formula (3.15) above. To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathrm{L}}([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} &= \mathrm{Span}\{\, Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})E_{\mathrm{L}}([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}} \,|\, B_{\mathrm{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{L},e_+} \}^{\mathrm{cl}}, & (4.17)\\ E_{\mathrm{R}}([e_-, e_- + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}} &= \mathrm{Span}\{\, Q(B_{\mathrm{R}})E_{\mathrm{R}}([e_-, e_- + \varepsilon])\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{R,c}} \,|\, B_{\mathrm{R}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{R},e_-} \}^{\mathrm{cl}}. & (4.18) \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove the first equality above, as the second one is analogous. We proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.5: By the translational invariance of $Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})$ it is obvious that the subspace on the r.h.s. of (4.17) is contained in the subspace on the l.h.s. Let us now assume that the inclusion is proper i.e. we can choose a non-zero vector $\Psi \in (E_1 - E_0)\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$, where $E_1 := E_{\mathrm{L}}([e_+, e_+ + \varepsilon])$ and E_0 is the orthogonal projection on the subspace on the r.h.s. of (4.17). By Lemma 4.2, there is an operator $B_{\mathrm{L}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{L},e_+}$ s.t. $B_{\mathrm{L}}\Psi \neq 0$. Then it is easy to see that $(\Psi|Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi) \neq 0$ which means that $(E_1 - E_0)Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi \neq 0$. Hence $Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi \neq 0$ and $Q(B_{\mathrm{L}})\Psi \notin E_0\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{L,c}}$, which contradicts the definition of E_0. □

5 Completely rational conformal nets

In this section we consider particle aspects of completely rational conformal nets, whose definition is summarized below. This class contains massless two-dimensional theories in a vacuum representation which are not asymptotically complete in the sense of waves. Nevertheless, as we show below, they have the property of generalized asymptotic completeness.

In the previous section we introduced the concept of a local net $(\mathcal{A}, V)$ of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ extends to a local net on the circle S^1 (understood as a one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}$) and V extends to a unitary representation of the universal covering of the Möbius group $\overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$, s.t. covariance still holds. Then we call the extension (resp. the original net) a **Möbius covariant** net on S^1 (resp. on $\mathbb{R}$). Similarly, if V extends to a projective unitary representation of the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S^1, denoted $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$, s.t. covariance still holds and $V(g)AV(g)^* = A$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})$ and $g \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ acts identically on $\mathcal{I}$, then we say that the extension (resp. the original net) is a **conformal** net on S^1 (resp. on $\mathbb{R}$).

A conformal net $(\mathcal{A}, V)$ on S^1 is said to be **completely rational** [22] if the following conditions hold:

1. **Split property.** For intervals $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2 \subset S^1$, where $\overline{\mathcal{I}_1} \subset \mathcal{I}_2$, there is a type I factor $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_1) \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_2)$.
2. **Strong additivity.** For an interval $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ which are made from $\mathcal{I}$ by removing an interior point, it holds that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_2)$.
3. **Finite μ-index.** For disjoint intervals $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3, \mathcal{I}_4$ with a clockwise (or counterclockwise) order and with the union dense in S^1, the Jones index of the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_3) \subset (\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_2) \vee \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}_4))'$ is finite.

Among the consequences, we recall that a completely rational net $\mathcal{A}$ has only finitely many sectors and any (locally normal) representation of $\mathcal{A}$ (on a separable Hilbert space) can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations [22].

Now let $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ be a local net of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}^2$ in a vacuum representation. $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is said to be **Möbius covariant** if the representation U of translations extends to the group $\overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}} \times \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$ and the covariance still holds in the sense of local action (see [4]). If U further extends to a projective unitary representation of the group $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1) \times \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ which acts covariantly on the net, and it holds that $U(g)AU(g)^* = A$ if $A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$ and $g \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1) \times \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ acts identically on $\mathcal{O}$, then the net $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be **conformal**. See also [21] for a general discussion on conformal nets on two-dimensional spacetime.

We define subgroups $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{L}} := \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}} \times \{\iota\} \subset \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}} \times \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{R}} := \{\iota\} \times \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}} \subset \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}} \times \overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$, where ι denotes the unit element in $\overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$. Following [26], for any interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J}) \cap U(\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{R}})'$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $\mathfrak{J}$, since the group $\overline{\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}}$ acts transitively on the set of intervals. Analogously, one defines $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J}) := \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J}) \cap U(\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{L}})'$. In this way we obtain two families of von Neumann algebras parametrized by intervals contained in $\mathbb{R}$. It was shown by Rehren that both $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ extend to Möbius covariant nets on the circle S^1 [26, Section 2]. If the net $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is conformal, then both chiral components $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are nontrivial. Indeed, they include the net generated by the diffeomorphisms of the form $g_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathrm{id} \times g_{\mathrm{R}}$, respectively. Such nets, generated by diffeomorphisms, are called the Virasoro (sub)nets.

We say that a conformal net $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is **completely rational** if its chiral components $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are completely rational. From the two nets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ we can construct the chiral net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ as in the previous section, which can be naturally identified with a subnet of $\mathfrak{A}$. It is easy to see that the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J})$ is irreducible (namely, the relative commutant is trivial). Indeed, any element in $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J})$ commutes with diffeomorphisms supported outside $\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J}$, which are contained in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}') \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J}')$, where $\mathcal{I}'$ denotes the interior of the complement of $\mathcal{I}$ (in $\mathbb{R}$ or in S^1, which does not matter thanks to the strong additivity). By the strong additivity, an element in the relative commutant must commute with any diffeomorphism. Hence it must be a multiple of the identity, since $\mathfrak{A}$ is in a vacuum representation. From this and the complete rationality, it follows that the Jones index of the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J})$ is finite [20, Proposition 2.3]. Thus the natural representation $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ decomposes into a finite direct sum of irreducible representations.

If $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are both completely rational, then any irreducible representation of the chiral net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is a product representation [22, Lemma 27]. From this it follows that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is completely rational, then the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of finitely many product representation spaces of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$. Thus the representation of the Virasoro subnets decomposes as well. The representation U of the spacetime translations can be obtained from local diffeomorphisms, hence any representative $U(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ is contained in $\bigcup_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathfrak{J}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{I}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathfrak{J})$. According to the decomposition $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}} = \bigoplus_i \pi_i$ of the natural inclusion representation of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$, U is decomposed into a direct sum $\bigoplus_i U_i$ and each U_i implements the translations in the representation π_i. In other words, we obtain a decomposition of U which is consistent with the above decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$.

In the previous sections we saw that any product representation of a chiral net is asymptotically complete in the sense of Definition 2.3. It is easy to check that the direct sum of asymptotically complete representations is again asymptotically complete. Thus we obtain:

Theorem 5.1. *Any completely rational net represented on a separable Hilbert space is asymptotically complete in the sense of Definition 2.3.*

Recall that a two-dimensional conformal net is asymptotically complete in the sense of waves if and only if it coincides with the chiral net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{R}}$ [30, Corollary 4.6]. For a non-trivial extension of a chiral net (see [21] for examples and a classification result of a certain class of conformal nets) asymptotic completeness in the sense of waves fails, but generalized asymptotic completeness remains valid in the completely rational case in view of the above theorem.

References

[1] H. Araki and R. Haag. *Collision cross sections in terms of local observables.* Commun. Math. Phys. **4**, (1967) 77–91.

[2] W. Arveson. *The harmonic analysis of automorphism groups.* In Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.,1982.D., pp. 199–269.

[3] H.-J. Borchers. *The CPT-theorem in two-dimensional theories of local observables.* Commun. Math. Phys. **143**, (1992) 315–332.

[4] R. Brunetti, D. Guido and R. Longo. *Modular structure and duality in conformal quantum field theory.* Commun. Math. Phys. **156**, (1993) 201–219.

[5] D. Buchholz. *Harmonic analysis of local operators.* Commun. Math. Phys. **129**, (1990) 631–641.

[6] D. Buchholz. *Gauss' law and the infraparticle problem.* Phys. Lett. B **174**, (1986) 331–334.

[7] D. Buchholz. *Collision theory for waves in two dimensions and a characterization of models with trivial S-matrix.* Commun. Math. Phys. **45**, (1975) 1–8.

[8] D. Buchholz. *Particles, infraparticles and the problem of asymptotic completeness.* In: VIIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics. Marseille 1986. Singapore: World Scientific 1987.

[9] D. Buchholz and K. Fredenhagen. *Locality and the structure of particle states.* Commun. Math. Phys. **84**, (1982) 1–54.

[10] D. Buchholz, M. Porrmann and U. Stein. *Dirac versus Wigner. Towards a universal particle concept in local quantum field theory.* Phys. Lett. B **267**, (1991) 377–381.

[11] T. Chen, J. Fröhlich and A. Pizzo. *Infraparticle scattering states in non-relativistic QED. I. The Bloch-Nordsieck paradigm.* Commun. Math. Phys. **294**, (2010) 761–825.

[12] W. De Roeck and A. Kupiainen. *Approach to ground state and time-independent photon bound for massless spin-boson models.* Preprint: arXiv:1109.5582v1 [math-ph].

[13] W. Dybalski. *Continuous spectrum of automorphism groups and the infraparticle problem.* Commun. Math. Phys. **300**, (2010) 273–299.

[14] W. Dybalski and Y. Tanimoto. *Asymptotic completeness in a class of massless relativistic quantum field theories.* Commun. Math. Phys. **305**, (2011) 427–440.

[15] W. Dybalski and Y. Tanimoto. *Infraparticles with superselected direction of motion in two-dimensional conformal field theory.* To appear in Commun. Math. Phys. Preprint: arXiv:1101.5700v1 [math-ph].

[16] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard. *Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory. Massive Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians.* Rev. Math. Phys. **11**, (1999) 383–450.

[17] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein. *Asymptotic completeness for Compton scattering.* Commun. Math. Phys. **252**, (2004) 415–476.

[18] C. Gérard, J.S. Møller and M.G. Rasmussen. *Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory: translation invariant Nelson type models restricted to the vacuum and one-particle sectors.* Lett. Math. Phys. **95**, (2011) 109–134.

[19] R. Haag. *Local quantum physics.* Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[20] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo. *Classification of local conformal nets. Case $c < 1$.* Ann. of Math. (2) **160**, (2004) 493–522.

[21] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo. *Classification of two-dimensional local conformal nets with $c < 1$ and 2-cohomology vanishing for tensor categories.* Commum. Math. Phys. **244**, (2004) 63–97.

[22] Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo and M. Müger. *Multi-interval subfactors and modularity of representations in conformal field theory.* Comm. Math. Phys. **219**, (2001) 631–669.

[23] G.Lechner. *Construction of quantum field theories with factorizing S-matrices.* Commun. Math. Phys. **277**, (2008) 821–860.

[24] M. Porrmann, *Particle weights and their disintegration I.* Commun. Math. Phys. **248**, (2004) 269–304.

[25] M. Porrmann, *Particle weights and their disintegration II.* Commun. Math. Phys. **248**, (2004) 305–333.

[26] K.-H. Rehren. *Chiral observables and modular invariants.* Commun. Math. Phys. **208**, (2000) 689–712.

[27] S. Sakai. *C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras.* Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. Springer 1971.

[28] B. Schroer. *Infrateilchen in der Quantenfeldtheorie.* Fortschr. Phys. **11**, (1963) 1–31.

[29] H. Spohn. *Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering.* J. Math. Phys. **38**, (1997) 2281–2296.

[30] Y. Tanimoto. *Noninteraction of waves in two-dimensional conformal field theory.* To appear in Commun. Math. Phys. Preprint arXiv:1107.2662v1 [math-ph].